


VJA: _____
(SPECIFY AIR OR SEA POUCH)

DISPATCH NO. EGMA-26668



TO : Chief, IO

THRU: Chief, EE

FROM : Chief of Base, Munich *occ for*

DOC. MICRO. SER.

DATE: 3 May 1957

INFO: COS, Germany

SUBJECT: GENERAL—Operational/DTDORIC/QKACTIVE

SPECIFIC— Identity A's planned Trip to Helsinki

1. When Subject (Identity A) was recently in New York, he and Roger S. Taxell discussed the advantages of Subject's going to Helsinki to attempt to strengthen TPLINGO's check point monitoring set up in that area. There is presently a check point monitor in Helsinki (Identity B) a Finn, who, as far as Subject knows, is working without the knowledge of the Finnish government. Identity B's reporting has been poor and his transmittal of reports to PBCHORD have been slow. Subject would therefor like to go there personally to see if better arrangements can be made.

2. Subject spoke with ~~Ed~~ MARTIN, VOA Chief Engineer, Washington, who told Subject that VOA's monitor in Helsinki would be able to do the desired monitoring for TPLINGO on a part time basis. This, however, would be insufficient for the needs -- hence Subject's trip to Helsinki.

3. Headquarters views would be appreciated on the following points:

- a) Would it be advantages for Subject to have a contact with the ☐ on his arrival, for whatever aid and advice they could offer? If not, who might be a useful contact there in helping him find a suitable monitor?
- b) What are the possible security aspects, apart from the obvious ones, Subject might encounter in connection with such a trip?
- c) Is there any objection to his making the trip at all?


DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODSEXEMPTION 3B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE A
DATE 2007

INDEX

Distribution:

- 4 - Wash w/att
- 2 - COS/G w/att
- 2 - MOB w/att

Attachment: UNDER SEPARATE COVER
Identities A and B.



FORM NO. 51-28A
MAR. 1949

200-124-39/3-19